Abstract. Since a few decades, proton therapy is being widely used for cancer treatment, with minimal dose to healthy tissues and surrounding organs. Recent studies have suggested that the efficacy of proton therapy could be enhanced if natural boron is selectively accumulated in the tumor tissues. Such an increase is attributed to the proton-boron fusion reaction that leads to the production of low-energy α-particles (~2.9 MeV), a mechanism that resembles the well-known Boron Neutron Capture Therapy. However, analytical calculations and detailed Monte Carlo simulations with GEANT4, both in a macro- and micro-dosimetry approach, indicate that the effect of the \( p^+ + ^{11}B \rightarrow 3\alpha \) reaction, at the standard Boron concentration levels (less than 100 ppm), is orders of magnitude lower than the one of the primary proton beam inside the tissues. In an attempt to solve this discrepancy, an experimental campaign will be carried out with a low-energy proton beam at the CNA laboratory, in Seville. In this talk, we present the latest results of detailed calculations and Geant4 simulations of the dose related to PBCT and describe the concept at the basis of the proposed experimental activity on the PBCT efficacy.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, proton therapy is one of the most technologically advanced methods to deliver radiation treatments to the deep-seated tumors; this technique takes advantage of the increase of deposited energy at the end of the proton range, the so-called Bragg peak. In comparison with the standard radiation therapy, this process allows to deliver curative radiation doses to the tumors while reducing doses to healthy tissues and side effects.

Recent studies based on Monte Carlo simulations for radiation transport [2] argued that a factor of two is gained on the delivered dose by proton beams if boron is accumulated in the tumor tissues, attributing such effect to the proton-boron fusion reaction, \( p^+ + ^{11}B \rightarrow 3\alpha \). A more recent experimental study performed by Cirrone et al. [1], indicated that the dose delivered in proton therapy is enhanced if adequate concentration of natural boron is added to the tumor cells prior to proton irradiation; in analogy to the well-known Boron Neutron Capture Therapy, the authors have referred to this mechanism as “Proton Boron Capture Therapy” (PBCT). By irradiating samples of prostatic tumor cells with and without boron carrier (sodium borocaptate, \( \text{Na}_2\text{B}_4\text{H}_6\text{SH} \) or BSH), the authors of Ref. [1] have shown that the tumor cells survival probability undergoes a strong reduction.

Although the effect of BSH on the probability of cell survival is quite clear, the claim that this effect is related to the proton boron fusion reaction is not supported by dose calculations. The aim of this contribution is to shed light on the potential role of the \( p^+ + ^{11}B \rightarrow 3\alpha \) reaction by showing results obtained from reaction rate calculations, either in macro- or micro-dosimetry approach and by realistic Monte Carlo simulations of the corresponding delivered dose.

2. DELIVERED DOSE

With the aim of verifying the effective role of the reaction \( p^+ + ^{11}B \rightarrow 3\alpha \) and hence of the proposed Proton Boron Capture Therapy (PBCT), we have performed analytical calculations of the corresponding delivered dose, as well as realistic Monte Carlo simulations with the specifically optimized GEANT4 toolkit.

2.1. Dose Calculations

According to Cirrone et al. [1], the maximum effect of PBCT is obtained at the end of proton range, due to the presence of a resonance like-structure (~1 barn @ 700 keV [3]).
Starting from the value of the reaction cross section, it is possible to estimate the reaction yield, and hence, the delivered dose. By using the maximum cross section value (~1 barn), so assuming a flat behavior, one estimates the delivered dose in the best condition scenario, as any other assumption would lead to a lower reaction rate.

In order to calculate the reaction yield (i.e. the probability that a proton undergoes a reaction), one can consider the region of the Bragg peak, in particular a slab of tissue of 1 mm thickness at the end of the proton range, where protons release in average 10 MeV. Assuming a BSH concentration of 80 ppm, a value of the reaction yield lower than $10^{-6}$ is obtained, meaning that only one proton per million reacts with $^{11}$B, producing three α-particles. Since both protons and the three α-particles emitted in the reaction release approximately 10 MeV in the slab, this yield corresponds also to the ratio between the dose produced by the PBCT, and the one produced by the primary proton beam. Therefore, it seems rather unlikely that the $p^+^{11}B \rightarrow 3\alpha$ reaction might enhance proton therapy.

Another important consideration is related to the large presence of water in biological tissues. In fact, proton-induced reaction on Oxygen, leads also to α-particles emission with a cross section ~ 100 mb Ref. [4, 5]. Although this is a threshold-reaction for proton energies above 5.5 MeV, one can expect a contribution to the total dose growth much higher than the one obtained by $p^+^{11}B \rightarrow 3\alpha$ with a quite flat dose distribution over the whole proton range. Calculations, performed assuming a proton beam of 62.5 MeV impinging on a 3.5 cm deep water phantom loaded with BSH at a concentration of 80 ppm, show that indeed this is the case, as demonstrated in Figure 1.

In this case, one can estimate the cell survival probability, by calculating the number of α-particles produced by the $p^+^{11}B$ reaction per unit volume for a given proton dose in the Bragg peak. It turns out that at 4 Gy of delivered proton dose (corresponding to a proton fluence of $2.5 \times 10^8$ protons/cm²), approximately $10^4$ α-particles/cm³ are produced by proton boron capture in the irradiation. In the assumption that each α-particle kills the cancer cell, by assuming $10^9$ cells/cm³ of tissue, the resulting decrease in survival fraction is as small as 0.01%, i.e. at least three order of magnitude lower than the one reported in Ref. [1]. Therefore, even the micro/nano dosimetry approach leads to the conclusion that the reaction $p^+^{11}B \rightarrow 3\alpha$ cannot be claimed as the responsible in the cell survival probability.

2.2. GEANT4 Simulations

Monte Carlo simulations in proton therapy are typically performed with three main tools: MCNP [6], FLUKA [7] and GEANT4 [8]; apart from small differences in hadronic processes Ref. [9, 10], general features of the dose profile are well reproduced by the different codes.

Monte Carlo simulations can also be used to estimate the dose related to a specific reaction, in particular the one at the basis of the Proton Boron Capture Therapy. To this purpose, we have used the toolkit GEANT4. Although such code has been
generated for high-energy physics simulations, recent developments have been made to improve the hadronic interactions at very low energy through the so-called *QGSP-BIC-ALLHP* model.

The reliability of Monte Carlo simulations of the dose in boron-enhanced proton therapy depends on the use of an accurate reaction cross section. We have verified that the cross section used in GEANT4 within the *QGSP-BIC-ALLHP* model agrees (within a factor of two) with the experimental one, in the region where they overlap; simulations permit also to verify other important aspects like the presence of additional reactions that in some way could contribute to the decrease of the survival probability reported in Ref. [1].

In the code, a volume of $2 \times 2 \times 10 \text{ cm}^3$ of water has been implemented as a software replica of the phantom; a pencil beam of $10^7$ primary protons, perpendicular to the phantom input face and with a $2 \text{ mm}$ Gaussian spatial distribution has been generated, with an energy distribution suitably constructed in order to obtain a Spread Out Bragg Peak (SOBP) as close as possible to the one of proton therapy facility of INFN-Laboratori Nazionali del Sud, shown in Ref. [1].
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*Figure 3. The depth profile of protons in the phantom, with energy distribution so to reproduce the SOBP of Ref. [1] (green curve), compared with the dose related to $p^+\text{B} \rightarrow \alpha$ reaction, in $1 \text{ mm}$ slabs along the beam direction, loaded with $80 \text{ ppm}$ of $\text{natB}$ or pure $\text{B}$.*

In the simulations, we have reproduced the geometry and other features of the experiment reported in Ref. [1]. In particular, we have determined the dose produced by the $n^+\text{B}$ reaction in $1 \text{ mm}$ thick water slabs at the entrance of the proton beam at $0.2 \text{ cm}$ (P1) and in two position of SOBP at $2.4 \text{ cm}$ (P2) and $3.0 \text{ cm}$ (P3). In each of these slabs, a concentration of $80 \text{ ppm}$ of BSH with natural boron was included. The number of $\alpha$-particles emitted in the slabs was compared with the one obtained without BSH; simulations took into account all possible proton-induced reactions responsible for $\alpha$-particles production. Finally, simulations were also performed with $100\%$ of $\text{B}^3$ and $\text{B}^10$, respectively.

To considerably reduce the time needed to run the simulations, the concentration was increased of three order of magnitude relative to the realistic case, i.e. a BSH concentration of $8\%$; results then were scaled down by the same gain factor. During the simulations, the $\alpha$-particles produced in the $1 \text{ mm}$ slabs loaded with $8\%$ of $\text{B}^3$, $\text{B}^4$ and $\text{B}^10$ at three different positions inside the phantom (at the entrance and in the SOBP), were recorded. The results, affected by an uncertainty of a few percent, indicate that the probability of $\alpha$-particles production in proton-induced reactions on boron is of the order of $10^{-7}$. Therefore, simulations as well confirm that the number of proton- or neutron-induced reactions on boron in proton therapy is practically negligible.

This is finally confirmed by the comparison, shown in Figure 3, of the dose produced by the boron capture reaction for $80 \text{ ppm}$ of BSH with $\text{B}^3$ or pure $\text{B}^3$ (solid symbols), with the one produced by the proton beam (curve). Once more this comparison indicates that the PBCT cannot play a role in the proton therapy and a different explanation have to be searched to explain the decrease in the cell survival probability reported in Ref. [1].

### 3. PBCT Measurement

A possible mean to verify the simulations results and determine the effectiveness of proton boron capture therapy would be to study it directly in the proton Bragg peak, where the number of $p^+\text{B}$ reactions is expected to be the maximum.

To this end, we have designed a measurement with a low-energy proton beam impinging on a thin layer of prostatic tumor cells with a suitable energy so that the Bragg peak falls within the cellular layer. In particular, we have considered the use of an existing beam line dedicated to radiobiology studies at the $3 \text{ MV}$ Tandem Accelerator of the Centro Nacional de Accleradores, (CNA), in Sevilla, Spain. More information on the Tandem and on the proton beam line can be found in Ref. [11].
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*Figure 4. The proton beam line used for radiobiology studies at the 3 MV Pelletron Tandem accelerator at CNA, Seville.*

The experimental setup is shown schematically in Figure 4. The proton beam is produced by the Tandem accelerator with an initial energy of $5.6 \text{ MeV}$. A Au foil...
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of 2.8 µm thickness, positioned 5.2 m upstream of the cell samples to be irradiated, is used to increase the dimension of the proton beam, so that it impinges on the sample with a practically uniform spatial distribution. A Kapton foil of 50 µm thickness acts as the vacuum-air interface, at the exit of the proton beam line. A sample holder, hosting up to 6 samples, is mounted in air, just outside the proton beam line, 1 cm far from the proton exit window. It can be controlled remotely so to insert sequentially the samples in the beam without entering the irradiation station. Each sample is made of a mylar foil, acting as backing for the cellular layer, mounted on a square aluminum structure. Since the energy of the proton beam impinging on the sample is still too high, the mylar foil can also be used to suitably degrade it so that the Bragg peak of the proton beam falls exactly within the cellular layer, thus maximizing the number of possible proton-induced reactions on 10B. To this end, the optimal thickness of the foil has to be calculated. Both the TRIM code [12] and the GEANT4 toolkit indicate that the sample has to be 250 µm thick. The results of the energy loss calculations are shown in Figure 5.

In order to produce a thin and uniform layer of prostatic cells on the mylar backing, the sample is filled with a culture medium containing the cells, and placed horizontally, so to fall on the foil (by gravity), and adhere to it. With this process, a uniform cellular layer of thickness between 15 and 20 µm can be produced. Following this step, the sample can be mounted on the beam line vertically, and with the culture medium still contained in it, so to ensure stable conditions to the cells.

The measurement here described was planned and ready to be performed in the first half of 2020 but had to be postponed due to the pandemic situation. It will however be performed as soon as circumstances will allow.

4. CONCLUSION

At the declared boron concentration, both calculations and simulations lead to the same conclusions: the reaction probability of PBCT is too low to bear any effect in proton therapy at the commonly used BSH concentration.

In order to experimentally verify the results of the simulations, we propose to perform a measurement with low-energy proton beams directly on a layer of prostatic tumor cells. The measurement here described has already been planned and will be performed as soon as circumstances will allow.